# Nitric oxide enhances catechol estrogen-induced oxidative stress in LNCaP cells

# KAAMPWE MUZANDU, ZEIN SHABAN, MAYUMI ISHIZUKA, AKIO KAZUSAKA, & SHOICHI FUJITA

Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Environmental Veterinary Sciences, Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan

Accepted by Professor J. Yodoi

(Received 6 August 2004; in revised form 28 September 2004)

#### Abstract

Catechol estrogens (CEs), such as 4-hydroxyestradiol (4-OHE2), undergo redox cycling during which reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide  $(O_2^-)$  and the chemically reactive estrogen semiquinone (CE-SQ) and quinone (CE-Q) intermediates are produced. The quinone's putative mutagenicity may be enhanced by ROS and/or reactive nitrogen species. High concentrations of nitric oxide (NO) present during inflammatory conditions may react with (O $_2^-$ ) to form peroxynitrite (ONOO $^-$ ), a potent oxidant implicated in many pathological conditions. In this study, the possible generation of peroxynitrite from the interaction of CEs and NO and its effect on plasmid DNA and intact cells were investigated. A combination of 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> and NO increased the level of single strand breaks (SSB) in plasmid DNA by more than  $60\%$  compared to vehicle controls in a metal-free buffer system. 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> alone or NO alone had no effect. Results obtained from use of different antioxidants and ROS scavengers suggested a role of peroxynitrite in oxidative stress. In cells, 4-OHE2 or NO alone induced dose-dependent DNA damage as assessed by single cell gel electrophoresis. Co-treatment with 4-OHE2 and NO had an additive effect at lower doses. Generation of intracellular ROS was measured by the oxidation of carboxy-2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate to the fluorescent compound carboxy-2',7'dichlorofluorescein. NO alone, in oxygenated media, generated little ROS whereas 4-OHE2 produced approximately 70% increase in fluorescence. When added together 4-OHE2 and NO, produced a 2-fold increase in ROS. The generation and involvement of peroxynitrite to this increase was implied since uric acid inhibited it. Generation of peroxynitrite was also observed by use of dihydrorhodamine 123. Therefore, we conclude that combined treatments with 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> and NO generated peroxynitrite seen from increased fluorescence and its inhibition by uric acid or combined SOD and catalase treatments. Results reported here suggest a role of peroxynitrite in causing damage to biomolecules when CEs and NO are present simultaneously. This may have biological relevance as high concentrations of NO formed during inflammatory conditions may exacerbate cancers due to estrogens.

Keywords: Oxidative stress, DNA damage, catechol estrogens, nitric oxide, peroxynitrite

Abbreviations: BSO, buthionine bulfoximine; CDCFDA, 5-(and 6)-carboxy-2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; DTNB, 5,5'-dithio-bis-2-nitrobenzoic acid, Ellman's reagent; EDTA, Ethylenediamine-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid; GSSG, glutathione, oxidized form; KPB, potassium phoshate buffer; NOC5, 3-[2-Hydroxy-1-(1-methylethyl)-2-nitrosohydrazino]-1-propanamine; 4-OHE2, 4-hydroxyestradiol; SIN-1, 3-morpholinosydnonimine; SOD, superoxide dimutase; TEMPO, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl

# Introduction

Estrogen is a known risk factor for breast cancer and possible mechanisms of carcinogenesis may also be similar for other types of cancers e.g. renal, brain,

endometrial, ovarian and prostate cancers. Carcinogenesis by estrogens has mainly been focused on mitogenecity via estrogen receptor-mediated cellular events. However, estrogen receptor-independent



Correspondence: S. Fujita, Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Environmental Veterinary Sciences, Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, N18, W9, Kita-Ku, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan. Tel: 81 11 706 6948. Fax: 81 11 706 5105. E-mail: fujita@vetmed.hokudai.ac.jp

events such as the genotoxic potential of catechol estrogens (CEs) are increasingly being recognized. 4-Hydroxyestradiol (4-OHE<sub>2</sub>) undergoes redox cycling [1] during which free radicals such as superoxide  $(O_2^-)$  and the chemically reactive estrogen semiquinone (CE-SQ) and quinone (CE-Q) intermediates are produced. The CE-Q can react with DNA to form stable or depurinating adducts with the potential to cause mutations leading to tumorigenesis [2]. Another mechanism by which mutations may be formed is by the free radicals generated during the metabolic redox cycling of CEs [3]. The  $(O_2^+)$  formed during this process is not very reactive by itself, but is a source of more reactive species such as hydroxyl radicals and singlet oxygen.

Superoxide can also react with nitric oxide (NO) at a rate constant of  $6.7 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  to produce peroxynitrite (ONOO<sup>-</sup>), a powerful oxidant that has been shown to cause a variety of DNA lesions [4], modify and inactivate proteins and cause tissue damage. There is a possibility that, under certain conditions, e.g. reduced cellular SOD activity, increased estrogen metabolism or chronic inflammation,  $(O_2^{\text{--}})$  generated from CEs may combine with NO to form peroxynitrite. The simultaneous presence of estrogens and NO may pose increased risks for major human cancers that are associated with chronic infections and inflammation. A synergistic interaction between NO and CEs was shown in acellular media by Yoshie and Ohshima [5], that implicates peroxynitrite in enhancing oxidative DNA damage. Indeed, Paquette et al. [6] confirmed the formation of ONOO<sup>-</sup> from CEs and NO in acellular media. However, it is not clear whether peroxynitrite may actually be formed from CEs and NO in vivo or in cell systems.

There are numerous reports on effects of estrogens in breast cancer, but scanty reports on prostate cancer. There is accumulating evidence for the possible involvement of estrogens in initiation and/or progression of prostate tumorigenesis  $[7-13]$ . Furthermore, high and sustained concentrations of NO produced chiefly from induced NO synthase (iNOS) during chronic inflammation may increase mutations caused by estrogens. In the prostate, high iNOS expression has been associated with increased cellular proliferation, dedifferentiation and advanced stage cancer [14]. Wang et al. [15], also found increased expression of iNOS in neoplastic cultures and tissue sections compared to nonneoplastic ones.

In the present study, we explored further the involvement of peroxynitrite in reactions of CEs with NO. Generation of peroxynitrite was studied in cellfree systems and also in LNCaP.FGC cells, a prostate cancer cell line derived from a lymph node metastasis. Since estrogens may cause prostate cancer, this cell line was chosen as a model of estrogen-induced carcinogenesis in the prostate. The effects of CEs on

DNA damage in the presence of NO were also studied.

# Materials and methods

# Chemicals

4-OHE2, buthionine sulfoximine, dihydrorhodamine 123, rhodamine 123, and dimethyl sulfoxide were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Ltd. (St. Louis, USA). NOC5 (a NO donor) and SIN-1 (a peroxynitrite generator) were from Dojindo Laboratories (Kumamoto, Japan). Superoxide dismutase, catalase, and pUC18 DNA were from Wako Pure Chemicals Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). 5-(and 6)-carboxy-2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (CDCFDA) was obtained from Molecular Probes, Inc. (Eugene, OR, USA).

#### Induction of strand breaks in plasmid DNA

Agarose gel electrophoretic patterns of plasmid pUC18 DNA (2686 bp) were used to measure single strand breaks (SSB). The method is as described by Li and Trush [16], with some modifications. Briefly,  $0.1 \,\mu$ g of DNA was incubated with various chemicals in KPB, pH 7.4 at 37 $\degree$ C for 1 h (final volume 10  $\mu$ l). The reaction was terminated by the addition of  $2 \mu$ l of electrophoresis loading buffer. Immediately after exposure, the DNA was loaded onto 1% agarose gel prepared in Tris Acetate/EDTA (TAE) buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM sodium acetate and 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.0) and gel electrophoresis was carried out for 2h at 8.5 V/cm in a horizontal gel electrophoresis apparatus. The gels, pre-stained with  $0.5 \,\mathrm{\upmu}\mathrm{g/m}$  ethidium bromide, were exposed to UV light. Pictures were taken, scanned and the DNA strand breaks were measured by the conversion of supercoiled pUC18 double-stranded DNA (SC) to open circular (OC) and linear forms (L) by densitometry. The number of SSB was calculated as previously described [17].

#### Cell culture and treatment

LNCaP.FGC prostate cancer cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles's Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics at 37 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  in 5%  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  in air.

To deplete cellular glutathione levels, cells were treated with  $100 \mu M$  BSO, an inhibitor of glutathione synthesis, for 6, 12, 18 or 24 h. Total glutathione levels were analysed spectrophotometrically.

In DNA damage experiments using the comet assay, cells were seeded in 35-mm dishes, and treated with  $100 \mu M$  BSO for 18h. Media were replaced with DMEM containing 10% charcoalstripped FBS. The NO donor, NOC5, and the CE,

4OHE2 were typically administered for 90 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Stock solutions of 4OHE<sub>2</sub> were dissolved in 99% DMSO and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Further dilutions of the stock solution were with media just before cell treatment. Media containing the desired concentrations of  $4OHE<sub>2</sub>$  were added to the cells. The final concentration of DMSO in the media was  $< 0.01\%$ . A stock solution of 100 mM NOC5 in 10 mM NaOH was prepared and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. NOC5 was added directly to the cells. Cells were harvested by trypsinization, centrifuged and cell pellets were used either for the comet assay or for the cytotoxicity assay (trypan blue dye exclusion method).

#### Glutathione assay

Cells (70–80% confluent) were treated with  $100 \mu M$ BSO for 0, 6, 12, 18 and 24 h. Cells were harvested using a rubber policeman, washed with PBS, and centrifuged at  $200g$  for 10 min at 4°C. 5% SSA was added to the cell pellet and cells were lysed by 3 cycles of freezing and thawing and centrifuged at 8000g for 10 min. The supernatant was used for total glutathione determination by an enzymatic recycling method using glutathione reductase and DTNB [18]. Values were determined spectrophotometrically at 405 nm using a plate reader. Protein concentration from cell pellets was determined by the method of Lowry et al. [19]. Cell viability was determined by the trypan blue dye exclusion method.

#### Evaluation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS)

Intracellular production of ROS was measured by using CDCFDA. This nonpolar compound passively diffuses into cells, where it is converted to the membrane-impermeant polar derivative CDCF by esterases [20]. CDCF is nonfluorescent but is rapidly oxidized to the highly fluorescent CDCF by intracellular  $H_2O_2$  and other peroxides. Stocks of CDCFDA (5 mM) were made in DMSO and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Cells were pre-treated with BSO for 18 h prior to addition of CDCFDA at a final concentration of  $5 \mu M$  for 30 min. Cells were washed extensively with PBS and treated with 4-OHE2 and/or NOC5 or ROS scavengers. After treatment they were harvested by trypsinization and resuspended in PBS. Fluorescence was recorded (500 nm excitation, 536 nm emission) using a JASCO FP-777 spectrofluorometer.

The production of peroxynitrite from the interaction of NO and 4-OHE2 was determined by the oxidation of dihydrorhodamine 123 (DHR) to the fluorescent product rhodamine. LNCaP cells were seeded in six-well 35-mm tissue culture dishes at  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/dish and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were pre-treated with BSO for 18 h after which

they were loaded with fresh medium containing  $5\mu$ M DHR and incubated for 2 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C to allow the dye to enter the cells and then washed two times with PBS to remove extracellular DHR. Following indicated treatments, cells were trypsinized, collected, washed and resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl/25 mM sucrose, pH 7.4, sonicated for 20 s and centrifuged at 10,000g for  $15 \text{min}$  at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Supernatant fluorescence was measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer with excitation and emission wavelengths of 500 and 536 nm, respectively, at room temperature [21]. Authentic rhodamine 123 standards, 0 to 400 nM, were used to generate a standard curve.

#### Comet assay

The comet assay was performed according to the method of Singh et al. [22] with some modifications [23]. Briefly, slides were coated with a first layer of 1% normal agarose. Approximately 20,000 cells were suspended in 50  $\mu$ l 0.5% low melting point agarose and layered onto the slides, which were then immediately covered with cover slips. After agarose solidification at  $4^{\circ}$ C for 5 min, cover slips were removed and slides were immersed for 1 h at  $4^{\circ}$ C in fresh lysis solution (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Na2 EDTA, 10 mM Tris, pH 10) containing 1% Triton X-100. The slides were equilibrated in alkaline solution  $(1 \text{ mM } Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, 300 \text{ mM } NaOH,$  $pH > 13$ ) for 40 min. Electrophoresis was carried out for 30 min at 1 V/cm. Afterwards, slides were neutralized by washing them three times with 0.4 M Tris buffer (pH 7.5) every 5 min. Slides were stained with ethidium bromide  $(2 \mu g/ml)$ . Images were scored using a fluorescent microscope (Olympus  $B \times 50$  equipped with a 520–550 nm excitation filter). Based on the extent of strand breakage, cells were classified according to their tail length in five categories, ranging from 0 (no visible tail) to 4 (still a detectable head of the comet but most of the DNA in the tail). The following formula [24] was used to calculate scores in which  $N$  is the number of cells in each category (e.g.  $N_4$  is the number of cells in category 4).

$$
Score = (N_0 + N_1 + 2 \times N_2 + 3 \times N_3 + 4 \times N_4)
$$

$$
\times 100/(N_0 + N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4)
$$

Experiments were done in duplicate and repeated at least twice.

#### Statistical analysis

Differences in means were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Fisher's protected least significant difference test. Statistical significance was considered at  $P < 0.05$ .

# Results

# Induction of strand breaks in plasmid DNA

Incubation of pUC18 plasmid DNA with  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5 produced SSB (Figure 1A).  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> or NOC5 alone had no effect on the level of strand breaks. The extent of DNA damage increased with increasing concentrations of NOC5 and reached a peak at  $250 \mu M$ . This result is similar to that of Yoshie and Ohshima [5]. The level of single strands produced was moderate as no linear or fragmented DNA was observed after agarose gel electrophoresis. In order to



Figure 1. Induction of single strand breaks (SSB) in pUC18 plasmid DNA. (A) DNA was incubated with  $10 \mu M$  4-Hydroxyestradiol and different concentrations of NOC5 for 1 h at 37°C. DNA damage was expressed as SSB per  $10^4$  base pairs (bp) DNA (upper panel); Representative agarose gel electrophoresis pattern of the DNA after treatment (lower panel). (B) Effect of antioxidants and free radical scavengers on SSB in plasmid DNA. DNA was incubated with 10  $\mu$ M 4-Hydroxyestradiol and 500  $\mu$ M NOC5 for 1 h at 37°C in the presence of either 1 mM sodium azide (NaN3), 500 or 5000 U/ml superoxide dismutase (SOD500, SOD5000) or catalase (CAT500, CAT5000) or both, or 1 mM uric acid. Following treatments, SSB were analysed as explained in "Materials and methods".

assess the nature ROS or RNS involved in inducing the strand breaks, selected scavengers were used (Figure 1B). Sodium azide (NaN<sub>3</sub>) reduced strand breaks caused by  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5. This implies the involvement of singlet oxygen  $(^1\mathrm{O}_2)$  as  $\mathrm{Na}\mathrm{N}_3$  is known to scavenge this reactive radical. Superoxide dismutase, however increased the level of DNA damage in the presence of  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NO. This may be due to formation of the nitroxyl radical  $(NO^{-})$ . Heat inactivated SOD, catalase or SOD and catalase combinations had no effect on DNA damage in the presence of  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NO. Furthermore, SOD alone or catalase alone did not induce strand breaks in DNA (data not shown). Use of combined SOD and catalase at the lower concentration showed no effect. The higher concentration revealed increased strand breaks. Catalase at 5000 U/ml was not able to protect against SSB suggesting  $H_2O_2$  is not important in this system. Uric acid almost completely inhibited strand breaks caused by  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NO. This implies the involvement of peroxynitrite. Uric acid may scavenge peroxynitrite by forming a nitrated uric acid product, which was detected by Skinner et al. [25].

#### Depletion of cellular glutathione levels

Cells were treated with  $100 \mu$ M BSO for the indicated duration (in hours) (Table I). Following 24h of treatment, total glutathione levels were reduced to about 7% of control (untreated) cells. Total glutathione measured in control cells was 17.82 nmol/mg protein. BSO did not cause cell death at any of the time points tested.

#### Evaluation of intracellular ROS

Cells were pre-treated with BSO prior to addition of 4OHE2, NOC5 or any ROS scavengers. Figure 2A shows the detection of intracellular ROS with the fluorescent probe CDCFDA. Fluorescence intensity was increased by 12.7% with 0.5 mM NOC5 alone relative to the control (untreated cells).  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> alone at  $10 \mu$ M increased ROS production by approximately 1.7-fold, whereas a combination of  $4-OHE<sub>2</sub>$ and NOC5 at the same concentrations produced a 2.2-fold increase. A measure of  $10 \mu M$  SIN-1,

Table I. Total gluthione levels in LNCaP cells.

| Time<br>(h) | <b>TGSH</b><br>(nmol/mg protein) | <b>TGSH</b><br>$\frac{9}{6}$ of control) | Viability<br>$($ %) |
|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 0 (control) | $17.82 \pm 0.19$                 | 100.0                                    | $83.3 \pm 0.9$      |
| 6           | $4.85 \pm 0.47$                  | 27.2                                     | $82.6 \pm 3.9$      |
| 12          | $4.11 \pm 0.27$                  | 23.0                                     | $84.1 \pm 3.1$      |
| 18          | $2.74 \pm 0.07$                  | 15.4                                     | $84.5 \pm 4.4$      |
| 24          | $1.29 \pm 0.60$                  | 7.2                                      | $81.5 \pm 2.8$      |

Data represent mean  $\pm$  S.D.,  $n = 3$ .

 $TGSH = Total glutathione (GSH + GSSG).$ 

a peroxynitrite generator, increased fluorescence by about 3.8-fold. Uric acid, but not TEMPO, inhibited ROS production by  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5 (Figure 2B). TEMPO is a metal-independent, membrane-permeable superoxide dismutase-mimetic that scavenges  $O_2^-$  to hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  and oxygen. The concentration of 1 mM used is based on effective concentrations previously used by other researchers  $[26,27]$ . 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5 dose-dependently increased dihydrorhodamine oxidation in cells (Figure 2C), with the lower concentration  $(10 \mu M)$  $4OHE_2/500 \mu M$  NOC5) generating approximately 51.9 nM rhodamine and the higher concentration  $(20 \mu M \quad 40 \text{HE}_2/1000 \mu M \quad NOC5)$  generating 318.1 nM. Uric acid and, to a lesser extent, SOD and catalase combined inhibited DHR oxidation (Figure 2D). These results suggest that peroxynitrite can be produced intracellularly in the presence of the CE, 4-OHE2, and NO.

#### Comet assay

All cells used in the comet assay were pre-treated with BSO in order to reduce the cytoprotective role of glutathione against ROS. In preliminary experiments,  $4OHE<sub>2</sub>$  increased DNA damage in cells in a dosedependent fashion up to 50  $\mu$ M after which there was no further increase (data not shown). NO also increased the level of damage in cells dose-dependently up to 1 mM. A higher concentration, 2 mM, was not included in the assay as it compromised cell viability (data not shown). Increasing concentrations of  $4OHE<sub>2</sub>$  and NOC5 in equivalent ratios also had a similar effect on DNA damage (Figure 3). In comparison to corresponding concentrations of either 4OHE<sub>2</sub> alone or NOC5 alone, 4OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5 combined only caused additive effects at the lower concentrations  $(2.5 \mu M \ 40 \text{HE}_2/0.125 \text{ mM} \ NOC5$ and  $5 \mu M$  4OHE<sub>2</sub>/0.25 mM NOC5).

### **Discussion**

Results presented in this study demonstrate the generation of peroxynitrite in situations where both CEs and NO are present. This has important biological implications as peroxynitrite or its conjugate, peroxynitrous acid (HOONO), have been linked to various pathological processes, including cancer, and a large body of evidence now links estrogens to tumorigenesis.

4-OHE2 in the presence of NO causes SSB in plasmid DNA (Figure 1). This result is in agreement with that of Yoshie and Ohshima [5]. The level of strand breaks was dose-dependent but reached a peak after which no further increase was observed. CEs generate strong strand breakage in the presence of metal ions, such as copper [28]. DNA damage in these systems has been attributed to the hydroxyl radical



Figure 2. Intracellular generation of ROS by 4-OHE2 and/or NOC5 in LNCaP cells. (A) Detection of ROS with CDCFA in cells treated with  $10 \mu M$  4OHE<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM NOC5 or both for 90 min. A measure of 50  $\mu$ M SIN-1 was administered to another group of cells. Data represent results from three independent experiments. (B) Effect of ROS scavengers on CDCFA fluorescence in cells treated with  $10 \mu M$  4-OHE<sub>2</sub> + 0.5 mM NOC5 for 90 min. TEMPO (1 mM) or Uric acid (1 mM) was administered to cells prior to 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> + NOC5 treatment. Data represent results from three independent experiments. \*Significantly different from 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> + NOC5,  $P < 0.05$ . (C) Intracellular oxidation of DHR 123 by 10 or 20 $\mu$ M 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> + 0.5 or 1 mM NOC5, respectively. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of five experiments done in duplicate. (D) Effect of ROS scavengers on 4-OHE<sub>2</sub>/NOC5 oxidation of DHR. Scavengers used were DMSO (1%), uric acid (1 mM), TEMPO (1 mM), SOD (500 U/ml), and catalase (500 U/ml). Data are means  $\pm$  SD of two experiments done in triplicate. \*Significantly different from  $4\text{-OHE}_2 + \text{NOC5}, P \leq 0.05$ .

produced from  $H_2O_2$  in the Fenton reaction. Hydrogen peroxide can be produced in the diffusion-controlled reaction of  $O_2^{\text{-}}$  with  $Cu^+$ , as well as by the slower non-catalytic dismutation of  $O_2^-$  [29]. In the present experiment, strand breaks were presumably caused by peroxynitrite possibly formed by the reaction of NO with  $O_2^-$ , which is produced during autoxidation of CE-SQ to CE-Q by dioxygen [1,28,30]. In contrast to Yoshie and Ohshima [5], this DNA damage was not inhibitable by SOD and was, in fact, increased with high SOD concentrations. SOD is expected to increase the concentration of  $\rm H_2O_2$  as it dismutates the  $\rm O_2^{--}$  anions, with subsequent formation of hydroxyl radicals. However, this result is not a consequence of hydroxyl radicals since the classical Fenton reaction may not occur in our system due to the elimination of metal ions with DTPA. The increased strand breakage may be due to formation of the nitroxyl anion  $(NO^-)$ . SOD catalyzes the conversion of NO to nitroxyl [31,32], which is known to cause SSB [33]. In this case,  $H_2O_2$  may not be formed if all the Cu(I), Zn-SOD reacts with NO instead of superoxide  $(O_2^-)$ . This may explain the lack of effect of catalase when combined with SOD. Catalase alone was also ineffective suggesting  $H_2O_2$ was not involved in the DNA damage. Conversion of CE to CE-SQ in the presence of NO may also generate  $NO^-$  using the quinone/hydroquinone redox system [34]. Hydrogen peroxide increases DNA damage in the presence of  $NO^-$  [32]. The singlet oxygen scavenger, sodium azide, inhibited strand breakage. In this system, the source of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  may be the spontaneous dismutation of  $O_2^-$ . There is also the possibility that  $H_2O_2$  may be formed from  $O_2$ generated from CE and NO, and this reacts with  $ONOO^-$  to form singlet oxygen [35,36].



Figure 3. Induction of DNA damage in LNCaP cells treated with  $1.25-20 \mu\text{M}$  4-OHE<sub>2</sub> (white bar), 62.5–1000  $\mu\text{M}$  NOC5 (grey bar), or  $4OHE<sub>2</sub> + NOC5$  (black bar) at the indicated concentrations. Cells were processed for the comet assay as described in "Materials and methods". \*4-OHE<sub>2</sub> + NOC5 significantly different from either 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> alone or NOC5 alone,  $P < 0.05$ .  $\star$  4-OHE<sub>2</sub> + NOC5 significantly different from 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> alone,  $P < 0.05$ . Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 3$ .

Furthermore,  $H_2O_2$  may also react with NO to form singlet oxygen [37]. However, experiments performed on plasmid DNA in 0.1 M KPB, pH 7.4/0.1 mM DTPA with the direct addition of  $H_2O_2$  and NOC5 failed to produce any DNA damage (data not shown). Uric acid efficiently abrogated strand breakage suggesting peroxynitrite was the major responsible species for the DNA strand breakage. In separate experiments, uric acid dose-dependently (0.5 to  $2$  mM) inhibited strand breaks elicited by  $100 \mu$ M SIN-1 in plasmid DNA (data not shown). Peroxynitrite causes strand breakage in plasmid DNA as well as in eukaryotic cell DNA. The major reactions of peroxynitrite are oxidative in nature with generation of products such as 8-hydroxyguanine, 8-hydroxyadenine and oxazolone [4]. However, peroxynitrite can also mediate nitration of deoxyguanosine to form 8-nitroguanine.

Intracellular formation of peroxynitrite was detected when NOC5 and  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> were administered to cells (Figure 2). Using the carboxy-dichlorofluorescein probe,  $4-OHE<sub>2</sub>$  alone increased fluorescence about 1.7-fold above that of the control (Figure 2A). Endogenous CEs can be oxidized by virtually any oxidative enzyme and/or metal ion to give CE-Qs [38]. Hence, superoxide generated during redox cycling may be responsible for this increased fluorescence as it is a source of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ . In the presence of cellular peroxidases  $H_2O_2$  is capable of oxidizing dichlorofluorescein [39]. The slight increase in fluorescence by NOC5 alone may be due to the formation of nitrogen dioxide  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  in the presence of oxygen, as was demonstrated by Crow [40]. NO on its own has little or no effect on dichlorofluorescein oxidation [41–43].

When  $4-OHE<sub>2</sub>$  and NOC5 were administered together, there was a greater increase in fluorescence (2.2-fold higher than control) and this was attributed to the formation of  $ONOO^-$ , which is a potent oxidant of dichlorofluorescein. Uric acid reduced fluorescence to 10.7% of control values (Figure 2B). In comparison, peroxynitrite generated from SIN-1 used in the positive control increased fluorescence by about 3.8 fold. No inhibition of fluorescence in the presence of TEMPO was observed. TEMPO may have limited peroxynitrite formation by dismutating the  $O_2^-$ , but the decrease in fluorescence may have been offset by the  $H_2O_2$ -induced fluorescence. In addition, the excess NO spared by TEMPO, may form  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the presence of oxygen. The fluorophore, DHR, produced similar results with  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> treatment leading to increased fluorescence, presumably from the formation of hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals.  $4-OHE<sub>2</sub>$  and NOC5 combined at two different concentrations dose-dependently increased fluorescence (Figure 2C). However, no oxidation of DHR was seen with NOC5 alone. This is because neither NO nor nitrogen dioxide can oxidize DHR [40]. Even though, hydroxyl radicals were not involved in DHR oxidation in the cell-free system, they may still be partially responsible for oxidation in the cells since DMSO slightly reduced oxidation (Figure 2D). However, this finding did not reach any statistical significance. Fluorescence in the presence of SOD (or TEMPO) was inhibited by catalase (Figure 2D) indicating that  $H_2O_2$  is responsible for maintaining fluorescence when the  $O_2^+$  ion is scavenged. Exogenous SOD has been shown to influence intracellular oxidation of fluorescent

probes [44], but the precise mechanism by which it does this is obscure as both SOD and the superoxide anion have limited membrane permeability in live cells. Superoxide anions formed intracellularly may escape into the extracellular space via anion channels [45]. In this case, SOD may have access to  $O_2^-$ , in the same manner that catalase detoxifies the freely diffusable  $H_2O_2$ . In addition, exogenous SOD may also reduce extracellular formation of peroxynitrite, which would otherwise contribute to intracellular oxidation of indicator probes. Peroxynitrite can cross cell membranes by either passive diffusion when in its protonated form or via anion channels when in its anionic form [46].

Cells are normally resistant to the deleterious effects of electrophiles and ROS due to the high concentration of glutathione. Glutathione also serves as an antioxidant and a substrate for a number of enzymes, such as peroxidases and transferases. In studies involving cells, intracellular glutathione levels were depleted with BSO, an inhibitor of the synthetic enzyme,  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine synthetase. This was so as to make cells more sensitive to the effects of CEs and ROS as was done by Mobley and Brueggemeier [47], using MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Conjugation of CE quinones with GSH results in a decrease in DNA damage [47–49]. Total glutathione was depleted to only about 7% of control levels after 24 h of incubation with BSO (Table I). In this study, cells were routinely incubated with BSO for 18h (approximately 15% reduction in intracellular glutathione). In preliminary experiments, depletion of glutathione in LNCaP cells made them susceptible to the damaging effects of 4-OHE2 or NOC5 without compromising their survival (data not shown). Treatment with  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> alone or NOC5 alone caused DNA damage in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 3). To our knowledge, this is the first study using single cell gel electrophoresis demonstrating induction of DNA strand breaks in the LNCaP.FGC cell line. NO can cause DNA damage in cells at concentrations found under inflammatory conditions [50]. Co-treatment of cells with both  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5 had a similar pattern of results (Figure 3). Since both  $4$ -OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5 cause DNA damage when given singly, it was interesting to see their combined effects. Additive effects were observed at the lower concentrations of 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5. As it is clear that peroxynitrite is produced in the cells (Figure 2) from co-treatment with 4-OHE<sub>2</sub> and NOC5, it may be partially responsible for increased DNA damage at the lower concentrations. At higher concentrations no additive effect was observed probably due to the higher concentration of peroxynitrite formed activating DNA repair mechanisms, such as those mediated by poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP-1). Increased peroxynitrite formation can lead to SSB (or double strand breaks) that activate the nuclear enzyme PARP-1 [51]. PARP-1 is involved

in DNA repair and thus may restrict any further damage to DNA, even though excessive strand breakage may also promote apoptotic or necrotic cell death due to depletion of cellular energy levels [51,52].

In summary, the present study provides further support for the formation of peroxynitrite from CEs and NO. Evidence reported here points to a role of peroxynitrite, at least in part, in causing damage to biomolecules when CEs and NO are present simultaneously. The contributory role of other ROS and/or reactive nitrogen species that may be formed cannot be excluded. These interactions may have biological relevance as high concentrations of NO formed during inflammatory conditions may exacerbate cancers due to estrogens.

#### Acknowledgements

The study was partly supported by grants-in-aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan awarded to S. Fujita (No. 15201009) and to M. Ishizuka (No. 15688010).

#### References

- [1] Liehr JG, Ulubelen AA, Strobel HW. Cytochrome P-450 mediated redox cycling of estrogens. J Biol Chem 1986;261:16865–16870.
- [2] Cavalieri EL, Stack DE, Devanesan PD, Todorovic R, Dwivedy I, Higginbotham S, Johansson SL, Patil KD, Gross ML, Gooden JK, Ramanathan R, Cerny RL, Rogan EG. Molecular origin of cancer: Catechol estrogen-3,4-quinones as endogenous tumor initiators. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997;94:10937–10942.
- [3] Zhu BT, Conney AH. Functional role of estrogen metabolism in target cells: Review and perspectives. Carcinogenesis 1998;19:1–27.
- [4] Burney S, Caulfield JL, Niles JC, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. The chemistry of DNA damage from nitric oxide and peroxynitrite. Mutat Res 1999;424:37–49.
- [5] Yoshie Y, Ohshima H. Synergistic induction of DNA strand breakage by catechol-estrogen and nitric oxide: Implications for hormonal carcinogenesis. Free Radic Biol Med 1998;24:341–348.
- [6] Paquette B, Cantin AM, Kocsis-Bedard S, Barry S, Lemay R, Jay-Gerin JP. In vitro generation of peroxynitrite by 2- and 4-hydroxyestrogens in the presence of nitric oxide. Chem Res Toxicol 2001;14:547–554.
- [7] Lane KE, Ricci MJ, Ho SM. Effect of combined testosterone and estradiol-17 beta treatment on the metabolism of  $E_2$  in the prostate and liver of noble rats. Prostate 1997;30:256–262.
- [8] Cavalieri EL, Devanesan P, Bosland MC, Badawi AF, Rogan EG. Catechol estrogen metabolites and conjugates in different regions of the prostate of noble rats treated with 4-hydroxyestradiol: Implications for estrogen-induced initiation of prostate cancer. Carcinogenesis 2002;23:329–333.
- [9] Jefcoate CR, Liehr JG, Santen RJ, Sutter TR, Yager JD, Yue W, Santner SJ, Tekmal R, Demers L, Pauley R, Naftolin F, Mor G, Berstein L. Tissue-specific synthesis and oxidative metabolism of estrogens. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2000;27:95–112.
- [10] Chang BL, Zheng SL, Isaacs SD, Turner A, Hawkins GA, Wiley KE, Bleecker ER, Walsh PC, Meyers DA, Isaacs WB,

RIGHTS LINKO

Xu J. Polymorphisms in the CYP1B1 gene are associated with increased risk of prostate cancer. Br J Cancer 2003;89: 1524–1529.

- [11] Chang BL, Zheng SL, Isaacs SD, Turner A, Hawkins GA, Wiley KE, Bleecker ER, Walsh PC, Meyers DA, Isaacs WB, Xu J. Polymorphisms in the CYP1A1 gene are associated with prostate cancer risk. Int J Cancer 2003;106:375–378.
- [12] Maggiolini M, Recchia AG, Carpino A, Vivacqua A, Fasanella G, Rago V, Pezzi V, Briand PA, Picard D, Ando S. Oestrogen receptor beta is required for androgen-stimulated proliferation of LNCaP prostate cancer cells. J Mol Endocrinol 2004;32:777–791.
- [13] Ellem SJ, Schmitt JF, Pedersen JS, Frydenberg M, Risbridger GP. Local aromatase expression in human prostate is altered in malignancy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004; 89:2434–2441.
- [14] Aaltoma SH, Lipponen PK, Kosma VM. Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression and its prognostic value in prostate cancer. Anticancer Res 2001;21:3101–3106.
- [15] Wang J, Torbenson M, Wang Q , Ro J Y, Becich M. Expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase in paired neoplastic and nonneoplastic primary prostate cell cultures and prostatectomy specimen. Urol Oncol 2003;21:117–122.
- [16] Li Y, Trush MA. DNA damage resulting from the oxidation of hydroquinone by copper: Role for a Cu(II)/Cu(I) redox cycle and reactive oxygen generation. Carcinogenesis 1993;14: 1303–1311.
- [17] Epe B, Hegler J. Oxidative DNA damage: Endonuclease fingerprinting. Methods Enzymol 1994;234:122–131.
- [18] Baker MA, Cerniglia GJ, Zaman A. Microtiter plate assay for the measurement of glutathione and glutathione disulfide in large numbers of biological samples. Anal Biochem 1990;190:360–365.
- [19] Lowry OH, Rosenbrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 1951;193:265–275.
- [20] Breeuwer P, Drocourt JL, Bunschoten N, Zwietering MH, Rombouts FM, Abee T. Characterization of uptake and hydrolysis of fluorescein diacetate and carboxyfluorescein diacetate by intracellular esterases in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which result in accumulation of fluorescent product. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995;61:1614–1619.
- [21] Briviba K, Roussyn I, Sharov VS, Sies H. Attenuation of oxidation and nitration reactions of peroxynitrite by selenomethionine, selenocystine and ebselen. Biochem J 1996;319:13–15.
- [22] Singh NP, McCoy MT, Tice RR, Schneider EL. A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. Exp Cell Res 1988;175:184–191.
- [23] Collins AR, Dunsinka M. Oxidation of cellular DNA measured with the comet assay. In: Armstrong D, editor. Methods in Molecular Biology: Oxidative Stress Biomarkers and Antioxidant Protocols. New Jersey: Humana Press; 2002. p 147–159.
- [24] Liu X, Yao J, Pisha E, Yang Y, Hua Y, van Breemen RB, Bolton JL. Oxidative DNA damage induced by equine estrogen metabolites: Role of estrogen receptor alpha. Chem Res Toxicol 2002;15:512–519.
- [25] Skinner KA, White CR, Patel R, Tan S, Barnes S, Kirk M, Darley-Usmar V, Parks DA. Nitrosation of uric acid by peroxynitrite. Formation of a vasoactive nitric oxide donor. J Biol Chem 1998;273:24491–24497.
- [26] Shen HM, Yang CF, Ding WX, Liu J, Ong CN. Superoxide radical-initiated apoptotic signalling pathway in selenitetreated HepG(2) cells: Mitochondria serve as the main target. Free Radic Biol Med 2001;30:9–21.
- [27] Gelvan D, Saltman P, Powell SR. Cardiac reperfusion damage prevented by a nitroxide free radical. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991;88:4680–4684.
- [28] Li Y, Trush MA, Yager JD. DNA damage caused by reactive oxygen species originating from a copper-dependent oxidation of the 2-hydroxy catechol of estradiol. Carcinogenesis 1994;15:1421–1427.
- [29] Afanas'ev IB. Superoxide Ion: Chemistry and Biological Implications. Florida: CRC Press, Inc; 1999.
- [30] Liehr JG, Roy D. Free radical generation by redox cycling of estrogens. Free Radic Biol Med 1990;8:415–423.
- [31] Murphy ME, Sies H. Reversible conversion of nitroxyl anion to nitric oxide by superoxide dismutase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991;88:10860–10864.
- [32] Liochev SI, Fridovich I. Nitroxyl (NO ): A substrate for superoxide dismutase. Arch Biochem Biophys 2002;402:166–171.
- [33] Chazotte-Aubert L, Oikawa S, Gilibert I, Bianchini F, Kawanishi S, Ohshima H. Cytotoxicity and site-specific DNA damage induced by nitroxyl anion  $(NO(-))$  in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. Implications for various pathophysiological conditions. J Biol Chem 1999;274: 20909–20915.
- [34] Ohshima H, Yoshie Y, Auriol S, Gilibert I. Antioxidant and pro-oxidant actions of flavonoids: Effects on DNA damage induced by nitric oxide, peroxynitrite and nitroxyl anion. Free Radic Biol Med 1998;25:1057–1065.
- [35] Di Mascio P, Bechara EJ, Medeiros MH, Briviba K, Sies H. Singlet molecular oxygen production in the reaction of peroxynitrite with hydrogen peroxide. FEBS Lett 1994;355:287–289.
- [36] Di Mascio P, Briviba K, Bechara EJ, Medeiros MH, Sies H. Reaction of peroxynitrite and hydrogen peroxide to produce singlet molecular oxygen (1 delta g). Methods Enzymol 1996;269:395–400.
- [37] Noronha-Dutra AA, Epperlein MM, Woolf N. Reaction of nitric oxide with hydrogen peroxide to produce potentially cytotoxic singlet oxygen as a model for nitric oxide-mediated killing. FEBS Lett 1993;321:59–62.
- [38] Bolton JL. Quinoids, quinoid radicals, and phenoxyl radicals formed from estrogens and antiestrogens. Toxicology 2002;177:55–65.
- [39] LeBel CP, Ischiropoulos H, Bondy SC. Evaluation of the probe 2',7'-dichlorofluorescin as an indicator of reactive oxygen species formation and oxidative stress. Chem Res Toxicol 1992;5:227–231.
- [40] Crow JP. Dichlorodihydrofluorescein and dihydrorhodamine 123 are sensitive indicators of peroxynitrite in vitro: Implications for intracellular measurement of reactive nitrogen and oxygen species. Nitric Oxide 1997;1: 145–157.
- [41] Kooy NW, Royall JA, Ischiropoulos H, Beckman JS. Peroxynitrite-mediated oxidation of dihydrorhodamine 123. Free Radic Biol Med 1994;16:149–156.
- [42] Kooy NW, Royall JA, Ischiropoulos H. Oxidation of 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein by peroxynitrite. Free Radic Res 1997;27:245–254.
- [43] Possel H, Noack H, Augustin W, Keilhoff G, Wolf G. 2,7- Dihydrodichlorofluorescein diacetate as a fluorescent marker for peroxynitrite formation. FEBS Lett 1997;416: 175–178.
- [44] Gunasekar PG, Kanthasamy AG, Borowitz JL, Isom GE. Monitoring intracellular nitric oxide formation by dichlorofluorescin in neuronal cells. J Neurosci Methods 1995;61:15–21.
- [45] Kontos HA, Wei EP, Ellis EF, Jenkins LW, Povlishock JT, Rowe GT, Hess ML. Appearance of superoxide anion radical in cerebral extracellular space during increased prostaglandin synthesis in cats. Circ Res 1985;57:142–151.
- [46] Denicola A, Souza JM, Radi R. Diffusion of peroxynitrite across erythrocyte membranes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;95:3566–3571.
- 398 K. Muzandu et al.
- [47] Mobley JA, Brueggemeier RW. Increasing the DNA damage threshold in breast cancer cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2002;180:219–226.
- [48] Butterworth M, Lau SS, Monks TJ. 17 beta-Estradiol metabolism by hamster hepatic microsomes. Implications for the catechol-O-methyl transferase-mediated detoxication of catechol estrogens. Drug Metab Dispos 1996;24: 588–594.
- [49] Mobley JA, Bhat AS, Brueggemeier RW. Measurement of oxidative DNA damage by catechol estrogens and analogues in vitro. Chem Res Toxicol 1999;12:270–277.
- [50] Hofseth LJ, Saito S, Hussain SP, Espey MG, Miranda KM, Araki Y, Jhappan C, Higashimoto Y, He P, Linke SP, Quezado MM, Zurer I, Rotter V, Wink DA, Appella E, Harris CC. Nitric oxide-induced cellular stress and p53 activation in chronic inflammation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:143–148.
- [51] Pieper AA, Verma A, Zhang J, Snyder SH. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase, nitric oxide and cell death. Trends Pharmacol Sci 1999;20:171–181.
- [52] Szabo C. Multiple pathways of peroxynitrite cytotoxicity. Toxicol Lett 2003;140–141:105–112.